

03-128

Frank Stilwell

From: Charlene Vaughn [cvaughn@achp.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2004 10:49 AM
To: bambi@nathpo.org, Alan Downer, Jay Keithley, Javier Marques, 'schamu@sso.org', Andrea Bruns, Andrea Williams, Ann Bobeck, Elizabeth Merritt, Frank Stilwell, John Clark, Jo Reese
Subject: [Fwd TWG Drafting Group]

ORIGINAL

----- Original Message -----

Subject: TWG Drafting Group**Date:** Wed, 04 Feb 2004 18:24:09 -0500**From:** Charlene Vaughn <cvaughn@achp.gov>

To: abobeck@nab.org, bambi@nathpo.org, John Clark <clarq@perkinscore.com>, Commission
 jay.keithley@pcia.com, Andrea Williams <awilliams@ctia.org>, Office of the Secretary
 <brunsa@pcia.com>, Jo Reese <jo@ainw.com>, gsmith@johnstondc.com,
 jtmartin@usetinc.org, "schamu@sso.org" <schamu@sso.org>, Elizabeth Merritt
 <betsy_merritt@nthp.org>, Sheila Burns <sburns@ermse.com>, alandowner@navajo.org,
 "Javier Marques" <jmarques@achp.gov>, "John Fowler" <jfowler@achp.gov>, "Klima, Don
 (dklima@achp.gov)" <dklima@achp.gov>

RECEIVED

FEB 26 2004

Good Evening:

The ACHP would like to schedule a teleconference call this Friday, February 6th from 10:30 a.m to 12:00 p.m. The purpose of the teleconference is to explore language for a new identification and evaluation stipulation for the FCC Nationwide Programmatic Agreement in response to the issues raised by the House Resources Committee.

We will use the concept paper prepared by the ACHP, and distributed at the January 29th Telecommunications Working Group meeting, as the framework for our discussions. However, I am amenable to hearing your suggestions regarding changes to the ACHP concept that will help us to better resolve the eligibility issues raised by the Committee.

Since we may be unable to tackle this issue fully on Friday, I suggest that we consider scheduling a follow-up meeting at the ACHP next week. Please have your calendars available so that we can discuss possible dates prior to concluding the teleconference.

In order to access the teleconference, you will need to follow the instructions described below:

1. Call 888-387-8686.
2. When the system answers, enter 7120435, then press #.
3. Please announce your name and organization as you enter the teleconference.
4. If you have difficulty accessing the call, contact the ACHP at 202-606-8505.

Thank you for agreeing to participate in this effort. I look forward to speaking with you on Friday.

Charlene Vaughn

No. of Copies rec'd 2
 List ABCDE

2/20/2004

RECEIVED

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS FOR THE DRAFTING GROUP FEB 24 2004
February 6, 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

1. What is the benefit to industry for using the services of a qualified professional to identify and evaluate properties?
2. Will the use of QPs increase the cost for complying with the terms of the FCC Nationwide PA?
3. Does the applicant give final approval regarding the scope of work proposed by the QP for completing the identification and evaluation process?
4. What criteria will be considered when determining the need for a "site visit?"
5. How will the PA define "SHPO inventory" so that it is clear what the QP is obligated to review?
6. Can the QP assume that properties included in a SHPO inventory have previously been evaluated for National Register eligibility?
7. Can a SHPO add properties for a designated area to its inventory when notified by a QP of their intent to conduct research?
8. How will the QP apply the National Register criteria to properties identified within the SHPO inventory which have not previously been determined eligible as part of a Section 106 consensus determination of eligibility?
9. Can historic properties that are listed or formally determined eligible for the National Register be re-evaluated by the QP?
10. What actions can the SHPO take when it receives a summary of eligible properties from the Applicant or QP?
11. Are there instances in which the SHPO could require that a survey be conducted because information is considered incomplete?
12. What role will FCC play in reviewing disagreements between the Applicant and the SHPO regarding eligibility determinations? How, and when, will referrals be made to the Keeper of the National Register?
13. How will the identification and evaluation stipulation address the evaluation of sites of religious and cultural significance to Indian tribes and NHOs?
14. Would QPs be authorized to contact Indian tribes and NHOs to request access to their inventory of sites eligible for listing in the National Register?

- 15 What opportunities will the public and other consulting parties have to respond to the Applicant's findings regarding National Register eligibility?
16. How will the revised procedures for identification and evaluation be incorporated in the Standard Documentation Form currently appended to the draft Nationwide PA?